Agenda Item Attachment 2 CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

Basi: Year Modification Request Certification CINMB 528 (NEW 7/99)

To request a correction to or substitution for a previously approved base-year amount used in calculating the diversion rate for your jurisdiction, please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 255-2555 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance, MS 8 8800 Cal Center Drive Sacramento CA 95826

All respondents must complete Section I and either Section II A or II B, as noted.

Genera	1	Inetri	ictio	ne:
		шэць	ILLUI	113.

1. Correct our existing Board-approved base-year generation (disposal or diversion) tonnage. (Please complete Section I and Section II A.)
2. Use a recent generation-based study to substitute for our existing Board-approved base-year generation amount, but not officially change our existing Board-approved base year. (Please complete Section I and Section II B.)

Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your request to the Board, and complete the appropriate sections.

☑ 3. Use a recent generation-based study to officially change our Board-approved existing base year to a new base year. (Please complete Section I and Section II B.)

Section I: Jürisdiction Information and Certific All respondents must complete this section.	cation	it in die een Metale die Eog Gebeure gebeure Die die de		
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in and that I am authorized to make this certification on b		is true and c	correct to the	best of my knowledge,
Jurisdiction Name		County		
Consolidated Waste Management Authority		Tulare	٨	
Authorized Signature Rayman H. Milland		Title Chairperson		
Type/Print Name of Person Signing	Date		Phone	
Raymond K. Millard	November 6, 200	0	(559) 591-5	5906
Person Completing This Form (please print or type)	Title		Phone	,
Lori Thomas	Administrative Ai	de	(559) 782-7	513
Mailing Address	City		State	ZIP Code
555 North Prospect	Porterville -		CANTITUE	93258

Section II B: Information for New Generation-Based Study for Existing or New Base Year

tonnage amount, correction method used, and correct owner of disputed tonnage in detail.

	Source of Disposal	Tons	Corr	ection Method Us	ed	Correct Owns	91
TCR		239	Weigh	t Tags		City gets credit from 1 County	l'ulare
÷							
							-
•							
3 9. Enter	your diversion rates in the table below.						
		1	997	1998		Other Year: (please specify)	
*	Current calculated diversion rate:	a.	46%	b.	%		
	Proposed diversion rate:	C.	77%	d.	%	θ.	%

The programs that were included in our currently calculated diversion have continued under the proposed diversion rate. The new programs were in place when the currently calculated diversion rate was computed. The survey identified several other sources (businesses and the use of fruit culls for animal feed). These sources have been added to our diversion calculation under the proposed diversion rate.

B11. If the difference between the proposed diversion rates in B9c and B9d or B9d and B9e is greater than 5 percentage points, please explain the reasons for the difference in the rates. (For example: program implementation or data errors.)

The diversion added by the use of fruit culls for animal feed and the diversion sources identified in our survey were much greater than the diversion through the residential and commercial programs. The fruit cull diversion program was recently approved by the CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion programs used by the other sources are similar to those included in other Waste Generation Studies the CIWMB has approved. No existing programs sponsored by the City have been reduced or discontinued or are expected to be.

B3. Is the proposed generation study year representative of average annual jurisdiction disposal and diversion patterns? ■ All diversion tonnage claimed is from a 100 percent audit (full enumeration) of all available diversion programs. ■ All diversion tonnage claimed is from a 100 percent audit (full enumeration) of all available diversion programs. ■ Some diversion as were estimated or extrapolated from representative sampling. (Explain amount and method in detail below a diversion survey was done for the most likely diversion sources and the total tonnage used as the 1997 diversion. We extrapolate the results of the survey to the entire population of diversion sources. We had included 180 tons of wood and 39 tons of other recycling from the County landfill program. Part of the wood we a biomass facility. Part of the other recycling was metals. Since data was not available to clearly quantify the amounts, not include them, but are requesting the CRVMB to review its biomass policy and credit the material as either disposal diversion. ■ Diversion Program ■ Tons ■ Type of Record ■ Location of Diversion Program ■ 1,591 ■ Weight tags ■ Bevers Recycling & D Green Waste ■ 1,110 ■ Weight tags ■ Bevers Recycling & D City rinerts ■ 2,106 ■ City records ■ City offices County Self-haul ■ 0 ■ Weight tags ■ Country office Country Self-haul ■ 0 ■ Weight tags ■ Country office Consultant office B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables. ■ 2,406 ■ Company information ■ Consultant office B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables. ■ 2,410 ■ City offices Consultant office B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables. ■ 3,41 tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) □ b. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (199	B1. Current Board-approved base-year:	······································	B2. Proposed new generation-base	sed study year
B3. Is the proposed generation study year representative of average ennual jurisdiction disposal and diversion patterns? B4. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your diversion data. All diversion tonnage claimed is from a 100 percent audit (full enumeration) of all available diversion programs. Some diversion data were estimated or extrapolated from representative sampling. (Explain amount and method in detail below A diversion survey was done for the most likely diversion sources and the total tonnage used as the 1997 diversion. A diversion survey was done for the most likely diversion sources and the total tonnage used as the 1997 diversion. A diversion sources are diversion sources. We had included 180 tons of wood and 39 tons of other recycling from the County landfill program. Part of the wood w a biomass facility. Part of the other recycling was metals. Since data was not available to clearly quantify the amounts, not include them, but are requesting the CTVMB to review its biomass policy and credit the material as either disposal diversion. B5. In the table below, list the diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. Include type of record and location; for example, weight tickets from transfer station. Diversion Program Tons Type of Record Location of Diversion Program Tons Type of Record Location of Diversion Program 1,1991 Weight tags Bavers Recycling 8. Diversion Program 2,106 City records City records City refines County office County Self-haul 0 Weight tags County office Consultant are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after), (No explanation required. Skip to Bs.) B. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after), (No explanation required. Skip to Bs.) B. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauter and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip				sou study your.
B4. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your diversion data. All diversion tonnage claimed is from a 100 parcent audit (full enumeration) of all available diversion programs. Some diversion data were estimated or extrapolated from representative sampling. (Explain amount and method in detail below A diversion survey was done for the most likely diversion sources and the total tonnage used as the 1997 diversion. We activate the results of the survey to the entire population of diversion sources. We had included 180 tons of wood and 39 tons of other recycling from the County landfill program. Part of the wood we a biomass facility. Part of the other recycling was metals. Since data was not available to clearly quantify the amounts, not include them, but are requesting the CIV/MB to review its biomass policy and credit the material as either disposal diversion. B6. In the table below, list the diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. Include type of record and location; for example, weight itokets from transfer station. Diversion Program Tons Type of Record Location of D. Hauler 1,591 Weight tags Bevers Recycling & D. Weight tags Bevers Recycling & D. Weight tags County office County Self-haul Pruit cults 4,596 Company Records Company Records Consultant office Source reduction and recycling 1,440 Company Information Consultant office B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required ables. 2 a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) D. J. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip c. Source of Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please skip to B8.) Source of Disposal Tons Type of Record Location of Data	1990		1997	
All diversion tonnage claimed is from a 100 percent audit (full enumeration) of all available diversion programs. Some diversion nurse was done for the most likely diversion sources and the total tonnage used as the 1997 diversion. We had included 180 tons of wood and 39 tons of other recycling from the County landfill program. Part of the wood w a biomass facility. Part of the other recycling was metals. Since data was not available to clearly quantify the amounts, not include them, but are requesting the CTVMB to review its biomass policy and credit the material as either disposal diversion. B6. In the table below, list the diversion data records that support your claim and are available to clearly quantify the amounts, not include them, but are requesting the CTVMB to review its biomass policy and credit the material as either disposal diversion. B6. In the table below, list the diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. Include type of record and location, for example, weight itckets from transfer station. Diversion Program Tons Type of Record Location of D. Hauler 1,591 Weight tags Bevers Recycling & D. City inerts 2,106 City records City offices County Self-haul 0 Weight tags Bevers Recycling & D. Weight tags County office Fruit cults 4,996 Company Records County office Source reduction and recycling 1,440 Company information Consultant office B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables. 2 a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please skip to B8.) B7. If you choose 'to' in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Include type of record and location; for exam	B3. Is the proposed generation study year repre	sentative of average	annual jurisdiction disposal and di	version patterns? 🔀 Yes [
A diversion survey was done for the most likely diversion sources and the total tonnage used as the 1997 diversion. We extrapolate the results of the survey to the entire population of diversion sources. We had included 180 tons of wood and 39 tons of other recycling from the County landfill program. Part of the wood we a biomass facility. Part of the other recycling was metals. Since data was not available to clearly quantify the amounts, not include them, but are requesting the CRWMB to review its biomass policy and credit the material as either disposal diversion. B6. In the table below, list the diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Diversion Program Tons Type of Record Location of Diversion Program Velocity Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Diversion Program Tons Type of Record Location of Diversion Program Velocity Include type of record and location; for example, weight tags Bevers Recycling & Diversion Program 1,110 Weight tags Bevers Recycling & Diversion Program Quantify the diversion of Diversion	B4. Please select the ONE choice below that be	st explains your div	ersion data.	
extrapolate the results of the survey to the entire population of diversion sources. We had included 180 tons of wood and 39 tons of other recycling from the County landfill program. Part of the wood was biomass facility. Part of the other recycling was metals. Since data was not available to clearly quantify the amounts, not include them, but are requesting the CIWMB to review its biomass policy and credit the material as either disposal diversion. B5. In the table below, list the diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Diversion Program Tons Type of Record Location of D. Hauler 1,591 Weight tags Bevers Recycling & D. Bevers Recycling & D. City inerts 2,106 City records City offices County office County Self-haul 4,696 Company Records Countifunction Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) B. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) B7. If you chose 'b' in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Include type of record and location, for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Tons Type of Record Location of Data	All diversion tonnage claimed is from a 100 Some diversion data were estimated or extra	percent audit (full e apolated from repre	numeration) of all available diversio sentative sampling. (Explain amou	n programs. nt and method in detail below.
a biomass facility. Part of the other recycling was metals. Since data was not available to clearly quantify the amounts, not include them, but are requesting the CRWMB to review its biomass policy and credit the material as either disposal diversion. B6. In the table below, list the diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Diversion Program Tons Type of Record Location of D. Hauler 1,591 Weight tags Bevers Recycling & D City records City records City refers County Self-haul 0 Weight tags County Self-haul 0 Weight tags County office Company Records Consultant office Tyles as select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables. a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please skip to B8.) Source of Disposal Tons Type of Record Location of Data Tons Type of Record Location of Data	A diversion survey was done for the most li extrapolate the results of the survey to the	kely diversion sou entire population o	rces and the total tonnage used of diversion sources.	i as the 1997 diversion. We
Include type of record and location, for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Diversion Program Tons Type of Record Location of D.	a biomass facility. Part of the other recyclin not include them, but are requesting the CN	g was metals. Sin	ce data was not available to cle	ariv quantify the amounts.
Include type of record and location; for example, weight tickets from transfer station. Diversion Program				
Include type of record and location; for example, weight tickets from transfer station. Diversion Program				
Hauler 1,591 Weight tags Bevers Recycling & D Green Waste 1,110 Weight tags Bevers Recycling & D Green Waste 1,110 Weight tags City offices County Self-haul 0 Weight tags County office County Self-haul 7 Company Records County office Company Records Consultant office Company information Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office A,896 Company information Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office A,811 tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) □ b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please skip to B8.) B7. If you chose 'b' in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Source of Disposal Tons Type of Record Location of Data	B5. In the table below, list the diversion data red include type of record and location; for example,	ords that support you weigh tickets from t	our claim and are available for Board ransfer station.	d audit.
Green Waste 1,110 Weight tags City records City offices County Self–haul 0 Weight tags County office Company Records Consultant office Company Records Consultant office Consultant office Company information Consultant office B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables. a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please skip to B8.) B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Source of Disposal Tons Type of Record Location of Data				Location of Da
City Inerts County Self-haul O Weight tags County Office Fruit culls Company Records Company Records Consultant office Consultant office Company information Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office A,696 Company information Consultant office Consultant office A,696 Company information Consultant office Consultant office A,696 Company information Consultant office Consulta	Hauler	1,591	Weight tags	Bevers Recycling & Dis
County Self-haul Fruit culls 4,696 Company Records Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office Consultant office 86. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables. a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please skip to B8.) B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Source of Disposal Tons Type of Record Location of Data	Green Waste	1,110	Weight tags	Bevers Recycling & Dis
Fruit culls Source reduction and recycling 1,440 Company Records Consultant office C	City Inerts	2,106	City records	City offices
Fruit culls Source reduction and recycling 1,440 Company Records Consultant office C	County Self-haul	o	Weight tags	County office
B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables. a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please skip to B8.) B7. If you chose 'b' in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Source of Disposal Tons Type of Record Location of Data		4,696	[-	
B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables. a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please skip to B8.) B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Source of Disposal Tons Type of Record Location of Data	Source reduction and recycling	1,440	, -	Consultant office
 				
□ A. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (1995 and after). (No explanation required. Skip to B9.) □ b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please complete B7 and then skip c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please skip to B8.) B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Include type of record and location; for example, weigh tickets from transfer station. Source of Disposal Tons Type of Record Location of Data				
	B6. Please select the ONE choice below that he		•	on required. Skip to B9.)
	 a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disj b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent a c. Some Disposal Reporting System data w B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data 	udit of hauler and se ere corrected. (Plea records that support	elf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please use skip to B8.)	
	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disp b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent a c. Some Disposal Reporting System data w B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data Include type of record and location; for example,	udit of hauler and se ere corrected. (Plea records that suppor weigh tickets from t	elf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please use skip to B8.) I your claim and are available for Bo ransfer station.	
	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disp b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent a c. Some Disposal Reporting System data w B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data Include type of record and location; for example,	udit of hauler and se ere corrected. (Plea records that suppor weigh tickets from t	elf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please use skip to B8.) I your claim and are available for Bo ransfer station.	ard audit in the table below.
	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disp b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent a c. Some Disposal Reporting System data w B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data Include type of record and location; for example,	udit of hauler and se ere corrected. (Plea records that suppor weigh tickets from t	elf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please use skip to B8.) I your claim and are available for Bo ransfer station.	ard audit in the table below.
	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disp b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent a c. Some Disposal Reporting System data w B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data Include type of record and location; for example,	udit of hauler and se ere corrected. (Plea records that suppor weigh tickets from t	elf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please use skip to B8.) I your claim and are available for Bo ransfer station.	ard audit in the table below.
	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disp b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent a c. Some Disposal Reporting System data w B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data Include type of record and location; for example,	udit of hauler and se ere corrected. (Plea records that suppor weigh tickets from t	elf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please use skip to B8.) I your claim and are available for Bo ransfer station.	ard audit in the table below.
	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disp b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent a c. Some Disposal Reporting System data w B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data Include type of record and location; for example,	udit of hauler and se ere corrected. (Plea records that suppor weigh tickets from t	elf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please use skip to B8.) I your claim and are available for Bo ransfer station.	ard audit in the table below.
	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disp b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent a c. Some Disposal Reporting System data w B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data Include type of record and location; for example,	udit of hauler and se ere corrected. (Plea records that suppor weigh tickets from t	elf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please use skip to B8.) I your claim and are available for Bo ransfer station.	ard audit in the table below.
	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disp b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent a c. Some Disposal Reporting System data w B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data Include type of record and location; for example,	udit of hauler and se ere corrected. (Plea records that suppor weigh tickets from t	elf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please use skip to B8.) I your claim and are available for Bo ransfer station.	ard audit in the table below.
in the property of the contract of the contrac	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disp b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent a c. Some Disposal Reporting System data w B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data Include type of record and location; for example,	udit of hauler and se ere corrected. (Plea records that suppor weigh tickets from t	elf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please use skip to B8.) I your claim and are available for Bo ransfer station.	ard audit in the table below.

Source of Disposal	Tons	Corr	ection Method Used	Cone	ct Owner
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		1			
		1			
•					
•					
			•		
		-			
·					•
				· ·	
9. Enter your diversion rates in the table below	v	1			
3. Citter your diversion rates in the table below	·				
	1997		1998	Other Year:	
				(please specify)	
Current calculated diversion rate	: a.		b.		
*	1	27%	0	%	
		21 /0			
Proposed diversion rate	; C.		d.	₽.	
	1	61%	C	%	1
wplain how the diversion rate is consistent with the programs that were included in our cu ew programs were in place when the cur ources (businesses and the use of fruit of ander the proposed diversion rate.	your diversion imp irrently calculated rently calculated	lementatio I diversion	n have continued under rate was computed. T	er the proposed div The survey identified	ersion rate. The d several other
he programs that were included in our cu ew programs were in place when the cur ources (businesses and the use of fruit c	your diversion imp irrently calculated rently calculated	lementatio I diversion	n efforts. n have continued under rate was computed. T	er the proposed div The survey identified	ersion rate. The
he programs that were included in our cu ew programs were in place when the cur ources (businesses and the use of fruit c	your diversion imp irrently calculated rently calculated	lementatio I diversion	n efforts. n have continued under rate was computed. T	er the proposed div The survey identified	ersion rate. The
he programs that were included in our cu ew programs were in place when the cur ources (businesses and the use of fruit c	your diversion imp irrently calculated rently calculated	lementatio I diversion	n efforts. n have continued under rate was computed. T	er the proposed div The survey identified	ersion rate. The
he programs that were included in our cu ew programs were in place when the cur ources (businesses and the use of fruit c	your diversion imp irrently calculated rently calculated	lementatio I diversion	n efforts. n have continued under rate was computed. T	er the proposed div The survey identified	ersion rate. The
he programs that were included in our cu ew programs were in place when the cur ources (businesses and the use of fruit c	your diversion imp irrently calculated rently calculated	lementatio I diversion	n efforts. n have continued under rate was computed. T	er the proposed div The survey identified	ersion rate. The
he programs that were included in our cu ew programs were in place when the cur ources (businesses and the use of fruit c	your diversion imp irrently calculated rently calculated	lementatio I diversion	n efforts. n have continued under rate was computed. T	er the proposed div The survey identified	ersion rate. The d several other
he programs that were included in our cu ew programs were in place when the cur ources (businesses and the use of fruit c	your diversion imp irrently calculated rently calculated	lementatio I diversion	n efforts. n have continued under rate was computed. T	er the proposed div The survey identified	ersion rate. The
he programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curburces (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate.	rrently calculated rently calculated rently calculated ulls for animal fee	diversion diversion (diversion). These	n efforts. n have continued under rate was computed. The sources have been a	er the proposed div The survey identified added to our diversi	ersion rate. The d several other ion calculation
he programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curburces (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions are the proposed diversions.	rrently calculated rently calculated ulls for animal fee	diversion diversion ed). These	n efforts. n have continued under rate was computed. The sources have been a sources have been a sources have been a sources.	er the proposed div The survey identified added to our diversi	ersion rate. The d several other ion calculation
he programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curources (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate.	rrently calculated rently calculated ulls for animal fee	diversion diversion ed). These	n efforts. n have continued under rate was computed. The sources have been a sources have been a sources have been a sources.	er the proposed div The survey identified added to our diversi	ersion rate. The d several other ion calculation
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curburces (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cuits and the reasons for the difference in the rates.	rrently calculated rently calculated rently calculated ulls for animal feed rersion rates in B9c example: program	and B9d of implemental and the	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.)	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey on tified in our survey	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curources (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cut can the diversion through the residential	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implemental and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea. The fruit cull diversion	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey no program was received.	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explanation were much greatertly approved by
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curburces (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cue han the diversion through the residential and CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversions	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implementation and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea the fruit cull diversion the sources are similar.	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey n program was recipier to ones included	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explanation were much greently approved to in other Waste
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curburces (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cue han the diversion through the residential the CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion studies the CIWMB has appropriate the county.	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implementation and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea the fruit cull diversion the sources are similar.	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey n program was recipier to ones included	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explanation were much greently approved to in other Waste
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curburces (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cue han the diversion through the residential the CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion studies the CIWMB has appropriate the county.	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implementation and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea the fruit cull diversion the sources are similar.	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey n program was recipier to ones included	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explanation were much greently approved to in other Waste
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curburces (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cue han the diversion through the residential the CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion studies the CIWMB has appropriate the county.	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implementation and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea the fruit cull diversion the sources are similar.	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey n program was recipier to ones included	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explanation were much greatertly approved to in other Waste
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curburces (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cuits and the reasons for the difference in the rates.	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implementation and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea the fruit cull diversion the sources are similar.	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey n program was recipier to ones included	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explain were much greatently approved bid in other Waste
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curources (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cut and the diversion through the residential and CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion studies the CIWMB has appropriate the county.	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implementation and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea the fruit cull diversion the sources are similar.	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey n program was recipier to ones included	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explain were much greatently approved bid in other Waste
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curources (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cut and the diversion through the residential and CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion studies the CIWMB has appropriate the county.	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implementation and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea the fruit cull diversion the sources are similar.	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey n program was recipier to ones included	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explain were much greatently approved bid in other Waste
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curources (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cut and the diversion through the residential and CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion studies the CIWMB has appropriate the county.	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implementation and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea the fruit cull diversion the sources are similar.	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey n program was recipier to ones included	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explain were much greatently approved bid in other Waste
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curources (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cut and the diversion through the residential and CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion studies the CIWMB has appropriate the county.	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implementation and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea the fruit cull diversion the sources are similar.	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey n program was recipier to ones included	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explain were much greatently approved bid in other Waste
the programs that were included in our cuew programs were in place when the curources (businesses and the use of fruit conder the proposed diversion rate. 11. If the difference between the proposed diversions for the difference in the rates. (For the diversion added by the use of fruit cut and the diversion through the residential and CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion studies the CIWMB has appropriate the county.	rrently calculated rently calc	and B9d of implementation and the programs.	or B9d and B9e is greate tation or data errors.) diversion sources idea the fruit cull diversion the sources are similar.	er the proposed diversion of the survey identified in our survey n program was recipier to ones included	ersion rate. The diseveral other ion calculation oints, please explain were much greatently approved bid in other Waste

Section II B: Information for New Respondents who chose option 2 or 3 on the Attach additional sheets if necessary—pleas	e first page must i	complete this section.	
B1. Current Board-approved base-year:		B2. Proposed new generation-ba	sed study year:
1990		1997	
B3. Is the proposed generation study year represe	entative of average	annual jurisdiction disposal and di	version patterns? X Yes No
B4. Please select the ONE choice below that best All diversion tonnage claimed is from a 100 p Some diversion data were estimated or extra A diversion survey was done for the most like 1997 diversion. We did not extrapolate the reward wood and other recycling for Part of the other recycling was metals. Since are requesting the CIWMB to review its biom	ercent audit (full en applated from repre ely diversion sour esults of the surv om the County la data was not ava	rumeration) of all available diversion esentative sampling. (Explain amores and the total tonnage used by to the entire population of distribution of distribu	unt and method in detail below.) I as the iversion sources. I was sent to a biomass facility. mounts, we did not include them, but
Diversion Program	Tons	Type of Record	Location of Data
Green Waste	5,588	Weight Tags	City
CRV Recycling	1,328	Weight Tags	DOR
City Drop-Off	440-	Weight Tags	City
County Self-Haul	<u>0</u> .	Weight Tags	County
Fruit Culls	2,578	Company Records	City
Source reduction and recycling	10,568 RSB -13,280 RSB 12/00 0	Company Information	City
Yard sales	, ,	Advertisements	City
B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disp b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent au c. Some Disposal Reporting System data we B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data r Include type of record and location; for example, ye	osal Reporting Syst dit of hauler and se re corrected. (Plea ecords that support	tern (1995 and after). (No explanal lf-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Pleas se skip to B8.) your claim and are available for B	tion required. Skip to B9.) e complete B7 and then skip to B9.)
Source of Disposal	Tons	Type of Record	Location of Data

B8. If you chose "c" in B6, list the disposal data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit in the table below. Explain tonnage amount, correction method used, and correct owner of disputed tonnage in detail.

Source of Disposal Tons Correction Method Used Correct Owner

TCR 25 Weight Tags City taken from County

Self-Haul errors -1,199 Survey Tulare County

B9. Enter your diversion rates in the table below.

, p	1997	1998	Other Year: (please specify)
Current calculated diversion rate:	a.	b.	
	19%	<u>%</u>	
Proposed diversion rate:	c.	d.	e. ·
	44%	%	%

B10. If the proposed base-year generation tonnage correction results in an increase in either your 1997 or 1998 waste diversion rate, please explain how the diversion rate is consistent with your diversion implementation efforts.

The change in diversion rate reflects assessment of more of the recycling programs being conducted in the City. It also reflects the level of effort of the City's programs more accurately than the Adjustment Method.

B11. If the difference between the proposed diversion rates in B9c and B9d or B9d and B9e is greater than 5 percentage points, please explain the reasons for the difference in the rates. (For example: program implementation or data errors.)

The increase in diversion is a result of surveying businesses within the city to ascertain their diversion rates. No City sponsored programs have been discontinued and none are expected to be.

Section II B: Information for New Respondents who chose option 2 or 3 on the Attach additional sheets if necessary—pleas	e first page musi	Based Study for Existing complete this section.				
B1. Current Board-approved base-year:	- Additional	B2. Proposed new generation-based study year:				
1990		1997				
B3. Is the proposed generation study year represe	entative of average	l e annual jurisdiction disposal and div	version patterns? X Yes No			
B4. Please select the ONE choice below that best All diversion tonnage claimed is from a 100 p Some diversion data were estimated or extra A diversion survey was done for the most like 1997 diversion. We did not extrapolate the r We had included 765 tons of wood and 149 to a biomass facility. Part of the other recyclimot include them, but are requesting the CIM diversion.	ercent audit (full e apolated from repo ely diversion sou esults of the sur tons of other rec ng was metals. S	numeration) of all available diversion resentative sampling. (Explain amounces and the total tonnage used vey to the entire population of diversion of the county landfill processing from the County landfill processing	unt and method in detail below.) I as the version sources. rogram. Part of the wood was sent clearly quantify the amounts, we did			
B5. In the table below, list the diversion data reco	ords that support you	our claim and are available for Boan transfer station.	d audit.			
Diversion Program	Tons	Type of Record	Location of Data			
City Recycling	1,162	Weight Tags	City			
Green Waste	8,640	Weight Tags	TCR			
CRV Recycling	762	DOR records	DOR			
City Inerts	800	Weight Tags	City			
County Self-Haui	0	Weight Tags	County			
Source reduction and recycling	31, 494 31,763 KSB 121	Company Information	Consultant Office			
Yard sales (This is tonnage from Cattle Manure at Eales yards)	200	Advertisements	City			
B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Dispo b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent au c. Some Disposal Reporting System data we B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data re Include type of record and location; for example, we	osal Reporting Sys dit of hauler and so re corrected. (Plea ecords that suppor	tem (1995 and after). (No explanatelif-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please ase skip to B8.) t your claim and are available for Bo	ion required. Skip to B9.) e complete B7 and then skip to B9.)			
Source of Disposal	Tons	Type of Record	Location of Data			
i de de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la	e in semino missy y usuku musky	es mortage and a second				

Source of Disposal	Tons	Corr	ection Method Used	ı [Correct Owner	
7 TCR billings	13	Weight	tags	(City, taken from County	
			ē.			
			•			
	·					
•						
Enter your diversion rates in the table below.						
	199	7	1998	•	Other Year: (please specify)	
Current calculated diversion rate:	a.		b.			
	1	42%		%		
Proposed diversion rate:	C.		d.		е.	
		55%	į	%		(

The programs that were included in our currently calculated diversion have continued under the proposed diversion rate. The new programs were in place when the currently calculated diversion rate was computed. The survey identified several other sources (businesses and the use of fruit culls for animal feed). These sources have been added to our diversion calculation under the proposed diversion rate.

B11. If the difference between the proposed diversion rates in B9c and B9d or B9d and B9e is greater than 5 percentage points, please explain the reasons for the difference in the rates. (For example: program implementation or data errors.)

The diversion added by the use of fruit culls for animal feed and the diversion sources identified in our survey were much greater than the diversion through the residential and commercial programs. The fruit cull diversion program was recently approved by the CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion programs used by the other sources are similar to one included in other Waste Generation Studies the CIWMB has approved. No existing programs sponsored by the City have been reduced or discontinued or are expected to be.

Section II B: Information for New (Respondents who chose option 2 or 3 on the Attach additional sheets if necessary—pleas	Generation-B	complete this section.			
B1. Current Board-approved base-year:		B2. Proposed new generation-based study year:			
1990		1997			
B3. Is the proposed generation study year represe	ntative of average	annual jurisdiction disposal and diver	sion patterns? X Yes No		
B4. Please select the ONE choice below that best	explains your dive	rsion data.			
All diversion tonnage claimed is from a 100 pr					
A diversion survey was done for the most like 1997 diversion. We did not extrapolate the re					
We had included 3,071 tons of wood and 679 to a biomass facility. Part of the other recyclir not include them, but are requesting the CIW diversion.	ng was metals. S	ince data was not available to clea	arty quantify the amounts, we did		
Diversion Program	Tons	Type of Record	Location of Data		
City Recycling	18,270	Weight Tags	TCR		
Green Waste	14,489	Weight Tags	City		
CRV Recycling	2,549	Weight Tags	DOR		
City Inerts	3,000 15 65.	Weight Tags	City		
County Self-Haul	0	Weight tags	County		
Source reduction and recycling RSB 12/00	24,889	Survey	Consultant Office		
B6. Please select the ONE choice below that best a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disport b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent aut c. Some Disposal Reporting System data well B7. If you chose "b" in B6, list the disposal data re Include type of record and location; for example, we	esal Reporting Systems dit of hauler and series corrected. (Pleasecords that support	tern (1995 and after). (No explanation off-haul tonnage (pre-1995). (Please of use skip to B8.) t your claim and are available for Boar	required. Skip to B9.) complete B7 and then skip to B9.)		
Source of Disposal	Tons	Type of Record	Location of Data		
			eggepaggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggg		
and the state of t		eg va hekkiligi gaga kapata tahun alba ka ka ka tahun a ka Madagasi ka ka ka ka ka ka ka tahun a ka Madagasi ka	e e e		
	on the processor and the second of the secon				
B8. If you chose "c" in B6, list the disposal data retonnage amount, correction method used, and co	ecords that suppor	t your claim and are available for Boa uted tonnage in detail.	rd audit in the table below. Explain		

Source of Disposal	Tons	Correction Method Used	Correct Owner
TCR	1,752	Weight Tags	City waste comes from Tulare County
er e			

B9. Enter your diversion rates in the table below.

		1997		1998	Other Year: (please specify)	
Current calculated diversion	rate: a.	31%	b.	%		
Proposed diversion	rate: c.	42%	d.	%	€.	%

B10. If the proposed base-year generation tonnage correction results in an increase in either your 1997 or 1998 waste diversion rate, please explain how the diversion rate is consistent with your diversion implementation efforts.

The programs that were included in our currently calculated diversion have continued under the proposed diversion rate. The new programs were in place when the currently calculated diversion rate was computed. The survey identified several other sources (businesses and the use of fruit culls for animal feed). These sources have been added to our diversion calculation under the proposed diversion rate.

B11. If the difference between the proposed diversion rates in B9c and B9d or B9d and B9e is greater than 5 percentage points, please explain the reasons for the difference in the rates. (For example: program implementation or data errors.)

The diversion added by the use of fruit culls for animal feed and the diversion sources identified in our survey were much greater than the diversion through the residential and commercial programs. The fruit cull diversion program was recently approved by the CIWMB for Tulare County. The diversion programs used by the other sources are similar to one included in other Waste Generation Studies the CIWMB has approved. No existing programs sponsored by the City have been reduced or discontinued or are expected to be.

Staff analysis of Consolidated Waste Management Authority Generation Study and Request to change its Base Year to 1997

Staff received the Consolidated Waste Management Authority's (CWMA) request to change its Base Year to 1997 in October 2000. The CWMA is made up of five cities (Dinuba, Lindsay, Porterville, Tulare and Visalia.) After communication between staff and the Authority's consultants, Mark White of the Pacific Waste Consulting Group and Jim Greco of California Waste Associates, staff will be submitting the following information for the Board's consideration. A Waste Generation Study was completed for each jurisdiction and then combined to obtain the final figures for the CWMA's new Base Year.

1997 Diversion rate: 51%

Generation: 343,700 tons.

Disposal: 167,782 tons. The disposal amounts were calculated using adjusted information from the Disposal Reporting System (DRS). The DRS reported 166,951.6 tons disposed for all jurisdictions within the CWMA. The City of Porterville does quarterly surveys at the Teapot Dome Landfill to validate the origin of self-hauled wastes. Porterville sends the corrections to the County, the County agrees to the changes and the corrected disposal amounts are noted in the Annual Reports. In 1997, Porterville determined the County misallocated 1,199 tons to them so this amount was been deducted from their total disposed and allocated to the County.

During the first quarter of 1997, Tulare County Recycling Co. (TCR) designated their residuals as orphaned wastes. TCR had weight tickets to verify that 39 tons should have been allocated to Dinuba and 1,752 tons should have been allocated to Visalia. Since there were no weight tickets for Porterville or Tulare, the Consultant calculated their allocations by calculating the average of each jurisdiction's amount for six months (April – September) of 1997 to calculate the additional waste for the first quarter. The 4th quarter was left out because of a significant increase in residuals at the end of the year.

Diversion: 175,916 tons

Discussion of Diversion Activities

Hauler Recycling: 25,231 tons. This material includes paper, OCC, aluminum, glass, and plastic collected by the haulers. Material was collected from the commingled residential curbside programs and from the collection of source separated materials from the commercial sectors. Materials collected from these programs are processed at the Tulare County Recycling (TCR), a privately owned mixed waste MRF.

Hauler Organics: 29,827 tons. Greenwaste materials are collected in curbside programs and during seasonal programs at community drop-off sites. The material is sent to Tulare County Compost for processing.

City Drop Off: 440 tons. Porterville provides an expanded municipal drop-off recycling site.

CRV-DOR: 4,841 tons. Recycling done at certified recycling centers was identified in the Division of Recycling report for 1997.

Inerts: 6,056 tons. All the programs were begun after 1990 and four of the member Cities are recycling and/or re-using the asphalt or concrete from public works and roads projects.

Business Recycling and Source Reduction: This information is the result of research done in the five jurisdictions of the CWMA. Extrapolation was not used to determine diversion from the commercial sector. The methodology included surveys sent to businesses, on-site surveys, and telephone surveys and focused on the largest 20 percent of the businesses.

Business Recycling: 47,792 tons. The commercial sector's recycling programs included office paper, cardboard, newspaper, aluminum, plastic, pallets, and nylon scrap in carpet manufacturing.

Business Source Reduction: 19,503 tons. It represents diversion from double-sided copying, electronic communications, reusable toters, re-use of pallets, and donations of office equipment and food to non-profits.

Fruit Culls: 36,586 tons. Fruit culled from the packinghouses was diverted as animal feed or as a soil amendment. Documentation used was the same as that provided for Tulare Uni.'s request in 1998. Documents include: historical

resolutions discussing the need for a disposal method for culled fruit within the county and that provides for a cell at the landfill for its disposal, and a 1986 CoSWMP excerpt recommending that the public works director of the County direct the disposal of culled fruit. This documentation is provided to show historical disposal of this material.

Recycling Businesses: 5,642 tons. Porterville segregated the amount of materials handled by recyclers as a method to avoid double counting and exclude CRV recycling. Most of these materials were OCC and paper.

Diversion not counted

Thrift stores and Yard Sales: 3,704.2 tons. The diversion coming from yard sales and thrift stores was not included in this data. These are acceptable diversion programs but because of lack of concurrence regarding acceptable methodology for calculating diversion from these sources, the estimated 3704.2 tons from thrift stores and yard sales in 1997 was not incorporated into the diversion calculations.

Top ten businesses within the CWMA (based on total diversion tons).

Type of Business	Specific Diversion Activities (e.g. paper recycling, pallet reuse)	Total Diversion Tons		
Manufacturing-Food	Fruit Culls	36,586		
Construction	Asphalt, C&D, Pallets	28,954		
Manufacturing- Printing/Publishing	OCC, paper, ONP	3,937		
Manufacturing-Paper/Allied	occ	4,200		
Wholesale-Durable Goods	occ	4,835		
Retail-General Merchandise Stores	Pallets, toner cartridges	4,004		
Retail-Food Stores	Pallets, rendering, OCC	2,187		
Retail-Auto and Service Station	OCC, metals, wheels	3,837		
Finance/Insurance/R.Estate/Legal	Paper, OCC	4,198		
Services-Other	Paper, OCC, Grasscycling	4,641		
Totals		93,379		

Summarized data from the Waste Generation Surveys in each of the jurisdictions of the Tulare Consolidated Waste Management Authority. *

	Hauler/ City Recycling	_	City Drop- off	CRV-DOR		Business Recycling	Business Source Reduction
CWMA	25231	29827	440	4841	6056	47792	19503
Dinuba	4208	3 0) (202	150	7560	7412
Lindsay	1591	1110) (0	2106	1280	160
Porterville	O	5588	440	1328	0	510	4416
Tulare	1162	8640	0	762	800	24634	959
Visalia	18270	14489) 0	2549	3000	13808	6556

	Yard Fruit Culls Sales	Thrift Stores		cycling sinesses	Total Source Reduction	SR as a % of generation	Commercial Tons	% non-res
CWMA	36586	0	0	5642	19500	3 6%	239368	70%
Dinuba	20504	0	0	0	7412	2 14%	41727	80%
Lindsay	4696	0	0	0	160	1%	11627	65%
Porterville	2578	0	0	5642	4416	9%	34300	68%
Tulare	6101	0	0	0	959	9 1%	62587	80%
Visalia	2707	0	0	0	6556	5 5%	89127	61%

	Diversion Rate	n Generation	Disposal (DRS)	Disposal Deductions	Disposal Adjustments Additions		Total Diversion
CWMA	51%	343699.62	166951.6	-1199	2029	167781.6	175918
Dinuba	77%	6 51940.4	11665.4	. 0	239	11904.4	40036
Lindsay	61%	6 18022.66	7079.66	. 0	0	7079.66	10943
Porterville	419	6 50274.52	30946.52	-1199	25	29772.52	20502
Tulare	55%	6 77893.34	34822.34	. 0	13	34835.34	43058
Visalia	42%	6 145568.7	82437.7	. 0	1752	84189.7	61379

^{*} Provided for clarification of corrections on certification forms.